

# Effects of Internet use on the adolescent brain: despite popular claims, experimental evidence remains scarce

# Kathryn L. Mills

Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK Child Psychiatry Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

#### Introduction

Throughout history, adults have worried about the effects of new tools and technologies on human development. Socrates warned his students of the dangers associated with writing and Plato immortalized his views by writing them down in the dialogue *Phaedrus* (370 BCE) (Box 1).

Today, teachers voice similar concerns about the effects of Internet use on the cognitive abilities of students growing up with access to the World Wide Web [1]. Of the 2462 American middle- and high-school teachers surveyed by the Pew Research Center, 87% felt that widespread Internet use was creating an 'easily distracted generation with short attention spans' and 88% felt that 'today's students have fundamentally different cognitive skills because of the digital technologies they have grown up with'. Although teachers and other adults who spend their time with children and teenagers possess valuable observational knowledge about generational trends, it is unclear whether current scientific evidence supports these claims. The focus of this Forum article is on how Internet use – a complex construct that encompasses multiple activities such as information gathering, entertainment, and communication through the medium of the World Wide Web as opposed to other media use, might affect the adolescent brain.

The naturally malleable period of adolescence, which is often defined as beginning around puberty and ending when one obtains a relatively stable role in society, makes this a time of particular concern to adults. Agency and many cognitive skills increase during early adolescence, yet some skills (such as navigating the social world) continue to develop throughout the teen years. Likewise, the human brain undergoes profound changes in both its structure and its function during adolescence [2]. Cellular

Corresponding author: Mills, K.L. (kathryn.l.mills@gmail.com).

1364-6613

© 2014 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.04.011



studies of post-mortem brain tissue have shown high levels of dendritic spines in the prefrontal cortex in late child-hood/early adolescence, with the number of spines reducing by almost half through the teenage years and into the third decade [3]. Because experience partially determines what connections are kept and strengthened during this period of development, some adults are concerned that Internet use could be 'rewiring' the brains of individuals growing up online.

# **Brain susceptibility**

Just how much can we expect the adolescent brain to be affected by environmental influences like Internet use? Major brain changes, akin to what is suggested by the phrase 'rewiring the brain' are unlikely. Recent longitudinal brain-imaging studies have shown that major changes in brain structure and function might be largely related to genetic and behavioral differences between individuals. Changes in brain structure, as measured by MRI, appear to be under strong genetic control during the transition between late childhood and early adolescence [4]. Changes in the recruitment of the ventral striatum when receiving a reward across adolescence are predominantly related to individual differences in self-reported fun seeking [5]. These studies suggest that environmental influences, like Internet use, would have little effect on neural measures at this level. Well-established sensitive periods for sensory processes and language acquisition end well before adolescence, but adolescence might encompass a sensitive period for sociocultural learning [2]. If so, this would mean that adolescence is a time when we are honing our skills for navigating complex social interactions and that a lack of opportunities to engage in this skill-building behavior could impede development. However, current evidence suggests that typical Internet activities do not impair social development during adolescence.

#### Internet use and adolescent health

Both adolescents and adults are now using the Internet more than ever. Evidence increasingly suggests that time spent online does not displace time spent doing other activities associated with health and well-being. Indeed, a recent longitudinal study of 14-24-year-olds (n=719) found a positive relationship between moderate Internet use and participation in 'real-world' activities such as sports and clubs [6]. Because the Internet can be used through various media such as mobile phones or computers Internet use sometimes falls under the category of 'screenbased sedentary behavior'. Although it is unclear how time spent specifically using the Internet relates to physical

# Box 1. A quote from Plato's dialogue *Phaedrus*, in which Socrates discusses his concerns about writing.

'For this invention will produce forgetfulness in the minds of those who learn to use it, because they will not practice their memory. Their trust in writing, produced by external characters which are no part of themselves, will discourage the use of their own memory within them.' Socrates

activity, a longitudinal study of 11–13-year-olds (n = 908) suggests that engaging in screen-based sedentary behaviors such as computer use is not associated with less engagement in leisure-time physical activities [7]. Regarding social well-being, a review of the literature in 2009 supported the idea that communicating with friends through the Internet can increase adolescents' social connectedness [8]. These and other studies emphasize the need to distinguish between the effects of different Internet activities (e.g., information gathering, communication) as well as specific aspects of Internet use that may be shared with other forms of media (e.g., screen time).

# Internet use and cognition

At this time we cannot be sure whether Internet use is creating a generation with 'fundamentally different cognitive skills', although recent studies have begun to test the potential effects of widespread Internet use on the cognitive abilities of young adults. In 2011, Sparrow and colleagues tested how the expectation of having access to information at a later time affected the memory of undergraduate students [9]. When expected to have future access to information, students were less likely to remember specific information but were more likely to remember where to find the specific information [9]. A recent study tested how being part of highly connected networks (like the Internet) affected the propagation of correct information, as well as the underlying cognitive strategies needed to generate correct information, in a group of university students [10]. The results of the study suggest that being part of highly connected networks can help individuals solve problems by facilitating the propagation of correct information, but that these networks do not propagate the cognitive strategies needed to obtain correct information on one's own [10]. These cleverly designed experiments suggest that the effects of Internet use on cognition are likely nuanced, but could strengthen specific cognitive strategies in young adults.

## Internet addiction

Although there are neuroimaging studies that have investigated the effects of Internet use on the adolescent brain, these studies have focused on adolescents classified as excessive Internet users (see [11] for a review). The results of these studies are unlikely to apply to the majority (an estimated 95.6%; see [12]) of adolescents that do not qualify as excessive Internet users. What is not present in the current literature are studies that correlate brain measurements – along with behavior, cognition, and well-being – with engagement in different Internet activities. This might not initially seem like a feasible method of experimentation, given the ever-increasing presence of the Internet in our lives. However, we can begin to address this question by utilizing methods adopted in studies on the effects of other

environmental influences (e.g., musical training) and by deconstructing Internet use into measurable components.

### Learning from musical-training studies

Like the few studies looking at the effects of Internet use on brain measures during adolescence, some studies of musical training compare the brains of the extreme end of the population (professional musicians) with the brains of nonmusicians. However, some of these studies have adopted methods to measure how musical training in nonprofessionals relates to brain measures, behavior, and cognition in both developing populations and adults [13,14]. These studies have largely adopted such methods to investigate whether there are particular developmental windows when musical training results in greater or fundamentally different effects. By collecting self-reported measures of the age at which participants began their musical training, as well as the duration and intensity of musical training, these studies are able to distinguish between training and maturational effects [13,14]. It might be possible to adopt similar methods in future investigations of Internet use and its subcomponents. This could help clarify if aspects of Internet use during adolescence impact brain measures, behavior, and cognition in a fundamentally different way from Internet use in adulthood.

## **Concluding remarks**

In the 25 years since the World Wide Web was invented, our way of interacting with each other and our collective history has changed. Successfully navigating this new world is likely to require new skills, which will be reflected in our neural architecture on some level. However, there is currently no evidence to suggest that Internet use has or has not had a profound effect on brain development. If we want to know how this highly connected world is impacting our brains, we will need to conduct studies that investigate brain measures and their relationship to behavior, cognition, and well-being in a representative sample of the population. These studies can draw from techniques adopted in studies of other environmental influences, such as musical training, and should differentiate between different Internet activities. Creative experimental designs have begun testing how certain aspects of Internet use can affect cognitive abilities, but many of these studies have been conducted only in adult samples. Finally, even if Internet use is impacting the developing brain during adolescence, we must not forget that the brains of adults remain capable of functional change. Indeed, Internet-based training programs are being developed to capitalize on just that [15].

# Acknowledgments

The author thanks Stephanie Sasse for her comments on previous versions of the manuscript, and Vaughan Bell for initial inspiration. This work was supported by the UCL-NIMH Graduate Partnership Program.

#### References

- 1 Purcell, K. et al. (2012) How Teens Do Research in the Digital World, Pew Internet & American Life Project
- 2 Blakemore, S-J. and Mills, K.L. (2014) Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? Annu. Rev. Psychol. 65, 187–207
- 3 Petanjek, Z. et al. (2011) Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 13281–13286

- 4 Van Soelen, I.L.C. et al. (2012) Genetic influences on thinning of the cerebral cortex during development. Neuroimage 59, 3871–3880
- 5 Van Duijvenvoorde, A.C.K. et al. (2014) A cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis of reward-related brain activation: effects of age, pubertal stage, and reward sensitivity. Brain Cogn. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2013.10.005
- 6 Romer, D. et al. (2013) Older versus newer media and the well-being of United States youth: results from a national longitudinal panel. J. Adolesc. Health 52, 613–619
- 7 Gebremariam, M.K. et al. (2013) Are screen-based sedentary behaviors longitudinally associated with dietary behaviors and leisure-time physical activity in the transition into adolescence? Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 10, 9
- 8 Valkenburg, P.M. and Peter, J. (2009) Social consequences of the Internet for adolescents: a decade of research. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 18, 1–5
- 9 Sparrow, B. et al. (2011) Google effects on memory: cognitive consequences of having information at our fingertips. Science 333, 776-778

- 10 Rahwan, I. et al. (2014) Analytical reasoning task reveals limits of social learning in networks. J. R. Soc. Interface 11, 20131211
- 11 Weinstein, A. and Lejoyeux, M. (2013) New developments on the neurobiological and pharmaco-genetic mechanisms underlying Internet and videogame addiction. *Am. J. Addict.* http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.12110.x
- 12 Durkee, T. et al. (2012) Prevalence of pathological Internet use among adolescents in Europe: demographic and social factors. Addiction 107, 2210–2222
- 13 Bergman Nutley, S. et al. (2014) Music practice is associated with development of working memory during childhood and adolescence. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 7, 926
- 14 Ellis, R.J. et al. (2012) Differentiating maturational and training influences on fMRI activation during music processing. Neuroimage 60, 1902–1912
- 15 Bergquist, T. et al. (2009) The effect of Internet-based cognitive rehabilitation in persons with memory impairments after severe traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj. 23, 790–799

Corresponding authors: Paller, K.A. (kap@northwestern.edu); Suzuki, S. (satoru@northwestern.edu).

